

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/439,157		11/12/1999	YUKI HIRAYAMA	JA9-98-228	1299
25259	7590	04/21/2004		EXAMINER	
IBM COR			LU, TOM Y		
3039 CORNWALLIS RD. DEPT. T81 / B503, PO BOX 12195				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
REASEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709				2621	
				DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		m
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/439,157	HIRAYAMA, YUKI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tom Y Lu	2621
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04/01</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) 2 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3 and 4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Caber: 09/439,157

Art Unit: 2621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. The amendment and written response filed on April 1<sup>st</sup>, 2004 has been entered upon entry of Request for Continued Examination.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, page 4-11, filed April 1<sup>st</sup>, 2004, with respect to Claims 1-4 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) rejection of Claims 1-4 has been withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claims 1 and 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - a. Referring to Claim 1, the claim language appears to be ambiguous. For example, "an image of a template" at line 4 seems to be referring to "an associated image as a template" at line 2, however, if so, the terminology needs to be consistent. In addition, the correspondence of "said image" at line 6 is unknown, which can be referred to either of the "an image" as indicated at line 3 or 5 respectively. Moreover, with presence of "a most matching template", there should be two or more templates stored and compared, however, it appears only singular template is present in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/439,157

Art Unit: 2621

b. Referring to Claim 3, "an image" at line 5 seems to be referring to the "an image" at line 2, which should be corrected as "said image", if not, then the correspondence of "said image" at line 7 once again is unknown. In addition, "at least one template image" should be "at least one template images".

c. With regard to Claim 4, see explanation in Claim 3.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1, 3-4 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Independent claims 1, 3-4 defines features of comparing an image in the vicinity of a coordinate obtained from appoint device in a graphical user interface with template images; locating a most matching template and its position on the image; and from the position on the image and position correction information associated with the template, calculating a final pointing position. These features in claims 1 and 3-4, which are the broadest allowable claims, are not taught or suggested by the art of record.

5. Claim 2 is allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Independent Claim 2 defines means for selecting an image area from an image in a graphical user interface to be subject of a template; means for selecting a point in said image area with a pointing device; and means for storing said selected point as position correction information associated with said template image. These features in

combination with other features in Claim 2, which is the broadest allowable claim, are not taught or suggested by the art of record.

#### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - a. Moon et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,259,436 B1, see figures 4 and 5.
  - b. Koitabashi et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,640,243, see figure 6.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom Y Lu whose telephone number is (703) 306-4057. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo H Boudreau can be reached on (703) 305-4706. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tom Y. Lu

LEO BOUDREAU

In the de dit the wind were